

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2 THOMAS V. LORAN III (SBN 95255)
3 MARC H. AXELBAUM (SBN 209855)
4 50 Fremont Street
5 Post Office Box 7880
6 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
7 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
8 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
9 thomas.loran@pillsburylaw.com
10 marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com
11
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
13 NICHOLAS BART ELLIS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

1 Plaintiff Nicholas Bart Ellis and Defendants A. Navarro, F. Juarez and B. Gardner
 2 (collectively, the “Parties”)¹ hereby stipulate as follows:

3 **STIPULATION**

4 WHEREAS the Parties have met and conferred regarding the schedule set in the
 5 Court’s Order for Pretrial Preparation (“Order,” Dkt. 49);

6 WHEREAS the parties are currently engaged in discovery;

7 WHEREAS litigation of this action requires extensive planning and preparation that
 8 a typical case does not entail, to wit:

- 9 • Plaintiff is incarcerated in the Security Housing Unit of Pelican Bay State
 10 Prison (“Pelican Bay”), known as the state prison with the highest-level of
 11 security in California;
- 12 • Because of Pelican Bay’s security restrictions and policies and practices
 13 concerning attorney-client telephone calls (see Dkts. 42-47), it is difficult for
 14 Plaintiff’s counsel, who were appointed by the Court to represent him, to
 15 consult with Plaintiff regularly or for any significant length of time
 16 (although the Parties’ counsel and Pelican Bay are working through these
 17 issues);
- 18 • Pelican Bay is located in Crescent City, California, 363 miles north of the
 19 San Francisco Bay Area, where the Parties’ counsel live and work;
- 20 • Most of the witnesses in the case work and live near Pelican Bay;
- 21 • Because of its remote location and security restrictions at the prison, travel
 22 to or from Pelican Bay takes approximately a full business day, and because
 23 of coastal weather conditions, flights are often cancelled or delayed;
- 24 • Document discovery requires the cooperation and assistance of the staff of
 25 Pelican Bay and the California Department of Corrections and

26 _____
 27 ¹ On June 18, 2010, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order voluntarily
 28 dismissing Defendant C.E. Wilber pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
 41(a)(1)(A). Dkt. 34.

1 Rehabilitation, both of which are significantly under-staffed as a result of
2 state budget constraints;

3 WHEREAS there are numerous fact witnesses who will need to be deposed in the
4 case, including the Parties themselves, other witnesses to the incidents at issue, possibly
5 medical personnel who treated Plaintiff, Pelican Bay and CDC officials who processed
6 Plaintiff's administrative appeal (relevant to the exhaustion issues in the case), as well as
7 expert witnesses;

8 WHEREAS Plaintiff's counsel need to travel to Pelican Bay on a separate trip in
9 advance of fact depositions in order to inspect and videotape various locations at Pelican
10 Bay where the incidents took place;

11 WHEREAS the Parties have agreed not to bring further dispositive motions
12 (including any motions for summary judgment), but nevertheless require additional time to
13 conduct fact and expert witness discovery;

14 WHEREAS the Parties agree to the extension of dates set in the Order to allow
15 sufficient time for discovery and trial preparation;

16 WHEREAS the Parties have not sought any other extensions of the dates set in the
17 Order;

18 NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties, through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and
19 request that the Court order that the pretrial dates set forth in the Order be modified as

Event	Date, per Order for Pretrial Preparation, Dkt. 49	[Proposed] Revised Dates
Fact Discovery Cut-Off	September 30, 2011	December 2, 2011
Expert Designation	Plaintiff: September 30, 2011	November 18, 2011
	Defendant: September 30, 2011	November 18, 2011
	Rebuttal: October 14, 2011	December 2, 2012
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	December 19, 2011	February 3, 2012

Event	Date, per Order for Pretrial Preparation, Dkt. 49	[Proposed] Revised Dates
Motion Cut-Off	November 15, 2011, 1pm	None needed – No further dispositive motions will be filed.
Mandatory Settlement Conference	November 21 to December 19, 2011	November 29, 9:30am (Judge Beeler), see Dkt. 50
Parties to Meet and Confer re Pretrial Preparation	December 10, 2011	January 31, 2012
Joint Pretrial Statement; Trial Briefs; Witness Lists; Designation of Discovery Excerpts; Proposed Jury Instructions, Voir Dire and Verdict Forms; Exhibits Due	December 13, 2011	February 7, 2012
Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	December 20, 2011	February 14, 2012
Oppositions to Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	December 27, 2011	February 21, 2012
Replies to Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	January 3, 2012	February 28, 2012
Pretrial Conference	January 10, 2012, 1pm	March 6, 2012, 1pm
Trial begins	January 18, 2012, 8:30am	March 14, 2012, 8:30am

All other terms of the Order will remain the same

Dated: August 5, 2011

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
THOMAS V. LORAN III
MARC H. AXELBAUM
50 Fremont Street
Post Office Box 7880
San Francisco, CA 94120-7880

By _____ /s/ *Marc H. Axelbaum*
Marc H. Axelbaum

Attorneys for Plaintiff NICHOLAS BART ELLIS

1 ANDRADA & ASSOCIATES
2 J. RANDALL ANDRADA
3 MATTHEW ROMAN
4 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 225
Oakland, CA 94612

5 By */s/ Matthew Roman*
Matthew Roman

6 Attorneys for Defendants
7 SERGEANT A. NAVARRO;
CORRECTIONAL OFFICER F. JUAREZ
8 CORRECTIONAL OFFICER B. GARDNER; AND
APPEALS COORDINATOR C.E. WILBER

9

10 **DECLARATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45, § X.B**

11 I, Marc H. Axelbaum, hereby declare pursuant to General Order 45, § X.B, that I
12 have obtained the concurrence in the filing of this document from the signatory listed
13 above.

14 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing declaration is true and correct.

15 Executed on August 5, 2011, at San Francisco, California.

16 By */s/ Marc H. Axelbaum*
Marc H. Axelbaum

17 Attorney for Plaintiff NICHOLAS BART ELLIS

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

2 Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the Court's pretrial scheduling order is MODIFIED
 3 AS FOLLOWS:

4	Event	Date, per Order for Pretrial 5 Preparation, Dkt. 49	[Proposed] Revised 6 Dates
7	Fact Discovery Cut-Off	September 30, 2011	December 2, 2011
8	Expert Designation	Plaintiff: September 30, 2011 Defendant: September 30, 2011 Rebuttal: October 14, 2011	November 18, 2011 November 18, 2011 December 2, 2012
9	Expert Discovery Cut-Off	December 19, 2011	February 3, 2012
10	Motion Cut-Off	November 15, 2011, 1pm	None needed – No further dispositive motions will be filed.
11	Mandatory Settlement 12 Conference	November 21 to December 19, 2011	November 29, 9:30am (Judge Beeler), see Dkt. 50
13	Parties to Meet and Confer re 14 Pretrial Preparation	December 10, 2011	January 31, 2012
15	Joint Pretrial Statement; Trial 16 Briefs; Witness Lists; Designation of Discovery 17 Excerpts; Proposed Jury Instructions, Voir Dire and 18 Verdict Forms; Exhibits Due	December 13, 2011	February 7, 2012
19	Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	December 20, 2011	February 14, 2012
20	Oppositions to Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	December 27, 2011	February 21, 2012
21	Replies to Motions in Limine and Objections to Evidence Due	January 3, 2012	February 28, 2012
22	Pretrial Conference	January 10, 2012, 1pm	March 6, 2012, 1pm
23	Trial begins (10-day jury trial)	January 18, 2012, 8:30am	March 14, 2012, 8:30am March 12, 2012 at 8:30am

27
 28

1 All other terms of the pretrial scheduling order will remain the same.

2

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 September 15, 2011

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Saundra B. Armstrong
The Hon. Saundra Brown Armstrong
United States District Judge